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1 Introduction

In the lecture, we saw that analytical treatment of the SIR model under pulsed vaccination is possible (though too advanced for us) and leads to the following condition for eradication:

$$\frac{(\mu T_v - p_v)(e^{\mu T_v} - 1) + \mu p_v T_v}{\mu T_v(p_v - 1 + e^{\mu T_v})} < \frac{1}{R_0}$$

Recall that \( \mu \) is the per capita birth rate, \( T \) is the time between pulses, and \( p_V \) is the fraction of susceptibles vaccinated per pulse. In this lab, we will implement this model numerically to explore some ideas. Using techniques developed in our earlier study of open deterministic compartmental models, we numerically integrate the SIR equations.

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= b - \lambda(I, t)S - \mu S \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \lambda(I, t)S - \gamma I - \mu I \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I - \mu R
\end{align*}$$

where \( S, I, \) and \( R \) are the number of susceptible, infected, and recovered individuals, \( \lambda(I, t) = \beta SI \) is the force of infection, \( b = \mu(S + I + R) \) is the birth rate, and \( \gamma \) is the recovery rate.

2 Solving differential equations revisited

To solve this model, we first define a function that specifies the set of differential equations describing our system.

```r
> require(deSolve) #deSolve library needed for this computing session
> sir.model.open <- function (t, x, params) { #here we begin a function with three arguments
+   S <- x[1] #create local variable S, the first element of x
+   I <- x[2] #create local variable I
+   R <- x[3] #create local variable R
+   with( #we can simplify code using "with"
+     as.list(params), #this argument to "with" lets us use the variable names
+     (muT_v - p_v)(exp(muT_v) - 1) + mu p_v T_v
+     mu T_v(p_v - 1 + exp(mu T_v))
+     < 1 / R_0
+   )
+ }
```
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The system of rate equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{dS}{dt} &= \mu(S + I + R) - \beta S I - \mu S \\
    \frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta S I - \gamma I - \mu I \\
    \frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I - \mu R \\
\end{align*}
\]

Combine results into a single vector \(dx\) and return result as a list.

Now, we specify some parameters,

\[
\begin{align*}
    R_0 &= 10 \\
    N &= 1 \quad \text{#population size} \\
    \mu &= 0.02 \quad \text{#per capita birth/death rate} \\
    \gamma &= \frac{365}{10} \quad \text{#recovery rate (in years)} \\
    \beta &= \frac{R_0(\gamma + \mu)}{N} \quad \text{#transmission rate} \\
    x_{\text{start}} &= (S=0.2, I=0.001, R=1-0.2-0.001) \quad \text{#initial conditions, must sum to one} \\
    T_{\text{max}} &= 120 \quad \text{#integrate for 200 years after transients} \\
    \text{params} &= (\beta, \gamma, \mu) \quad \text{#parameter vector} \\
    \tau &= 0.1 \quad \text{#size of time step} \\
    \text{times} &= \text{seq}(0, T_{\text{max}}, \text{by}=\tau) \quad \text{#function seq returns a sequence} \\
\end{align*}
\]

and solve. (Note that under these initial conditions the system may get very close to the boundary at \(I = 0\). Therefore, we will specify that higher order solver should be used \texttt{ode45} and under more stringent constraints, specifically relative error tolerance of no more than \(1 \times 10^{-7}\).)

\[
\begin{align*}
    \text{out} &= \texttt{ode}(x_{\text{start}}, \text{times}, \text{sir.model.open}, \text{params}, \text{method}='\texttt{ode45}', \text{rtol}=1e-7) \\
\end{align*}
\]

We plot the results to inspect the period of transient behavior.

\[
\begin{align*}
    \text{op} &= \texttt{par(fig=c(0,0.5,0.1),mar=c(4,4,1,1))} \quad \text{#set graphical parameters} \\
    \text{plot}(I\cdot\text{time}, \text{data=\text{out}}, \text{type='l'}, \text{lwd=2}) \quad \text{#plot the I variable against time} \\
    \text{par(fig=c(0.5,1,0.1),mar=c(4,1,1,1),new=T)} \quad \text{#re-set graphical parameters} \\
    \text{plot}(I\cdot S, \text{data=\text{out},log='xy',yaxt='n',xlab='S', type='l', lwd=2}) \quad \text{#plot phase portrait} \\
    \text{par(op)} \quad \text{#re-set graphical parameters} \\
\end{align*}
\]
Evidently, the system has settled down to the endemic equilibrium by around \( t = 50 \). We can use the system state at this time to initialize our study of pulsed vaccination.

\[
> \text{xstart} \leftarrow \text{out[which(out[,1]==50),2:4]}
\]

### 3 Adding pulsed vaccination

To study pulsed vaccination we define some additional parameters.

\[
> \text{pv} \leftarrow 0.1 \quad \# \text{fraction of susceptibles vaccinomated}
> \text{Tv} \leftarrow 4 \quad \# \text{number of years between pulses}
> \text{vacc.events} \leftarrow \text{floor(Tmax/Tv)} \quad \# \text{number of pulses in Tmax years}
\]

We also initialize a data frame at the initial condition to store the result.

\[
> \text{data} \leftarrow \text{data.frame(S=out[which(out[,1]==50),2],}
+ \quad \text{I=out[which(out[,1]==50),3],}
+ \quad \text{R=out[which(out[,1]==50),4])}
\]

Now, we use the terminal conditions of the transient period as the initial condition, run the model forward \( T_v \) units of time, vaccinate (move \( p_v \) from the susceptible to infected class), and repeat until time exceeds \( T_{\text{max}} \).

We can execute this algorithm using a for loop.
for(i in 1:vacc.events){
  out <- ode(xstart, seq(tau, Tv, by=tau), sir.model.open, params, method='ode45', rtol=1e-7)
  xstart <- out[2:4] # reset initial condition
  xstart[1] <- (1-pv)*(tail(out,1)[2]) # vaccinate susceptibles
  xstart[3] <- xstart[3]+(pv)*(tail(out,1)[2]) # move to recovered class
  data <- rbind(data,out[2:4]) # store result
}
Interestingly, pulsed vaccination gives rise to a change in the stable dynamics. Whereas continuous vaccination less than the critical level required for elimination yields a stable endemic equilibrium, pulsed vaccination generates period oscillations.

**Exercise 1.** Why might this periodicity be of interest? What might be the consequences of “peaks” and “troughs” for public health?

Effective management of infectious diseases depends not only on average conditions, but managing under severe conditions and exploiting opportunities as well. Needs for public health resources will be maximized during epidemic peaks and planners must be prepared to deal with these conditions. Additionally, infectious disease are most likely to go extinct during epidemic troughs, during which times chains of transmission are shortest. Epidemic troughs might even be exploited in elimination campaigns.

**Exercise 2.** By modifying the value of $p_v$, see if you can locate the vaccination threshold. Does this agree with the analytically predicted threshold?

We start with our dynamical parameters:

```r
> R0 <- 10
> N <- 1 #population size
> mu <- 0.02 #per capita birth/death rate
> gamma <- 365/10 #recovery rate (in years)
> beta <- R0*(gamma+mu)/N #transmission rate
> Tv <- 4 #time between vaccination pulses
```

Now, we need to compute the analytically obtained threshold. To achieve this, we rearrange the eradication criterion by subtracting $1/R_0$ from each side and solving for $p_v$. Numerically, we first write a function.

```r
> crit <- function(pv, mu, Tv, R0){
+   (((mu*Tv-pv)*(exp(mu*Tv)-1)+mu*pv*Tv)/(mu*pv*(pv-1+exp(mu*Tv)))) - 1/R0
+ }
```

We can visualize the equation by plotting as a function of $p_v$. Where this function crosses $y = 0$ is the critical point.

```r
> plot(seq(0.0,by=0.01), crit(seq(0.0,by=0.01), mu, Tv, R0), type='l', xlab='p_v', ylab='Elimination criterion', lty=2)
```
The vaccination threshold can be obtained numerically by solving this function for \( p_v \).

\[
> \text{print(vax <- uniroot(crit, lower=0, upper=0.6, mu=mu, Tv=Tv, R0=R0))}
\]

\[
$\text{root}$
\]

\[
[1] 0.5312612
\]

\[
$\text{f.root}$
\]

\[
[1] 5.841585e-06
\]

\[
$\text{iter}$
\]

\[
[1] 7
\]

\[
$\text{init.it}$
\]

\[
[1] \text{NA}
\]

\[
$\text{estim.prec}$
\]

\[
[1] 6.103516e-05
\]

At these parameter values, the analytic vaccination threshold is found to be 0.53.

**Exercise 3.** One thing we might be interested in knowing is how our vaccination strategy affects mean disease prevalence. Devise a strategy to study this question and produce a plot illustrating the result.

Solving this problem will require the codes developed in the handout. As before, we run the model until it stabilizes around the endemic equilibrium prior to introducing vaccination. Now, however, we sweep
over a range of values for $p_v$ to see if we can find the vaccination threshold. We remember to re-initialize
the state variables for each value of $p_v$. Then, we plot the average fraction infected for the last 250 time
steps as a function of the vaccination fraction $p_v$.

```r
> require(deSolve)  # deSolve library needed for this computing session
> sir.model.open <- function (t, x, params) {  # here we begin a function with three arguments
+    S <- x[1]  # create local variable S, the first element of x
+    I <- x[2]  # create local variable I
+    R <- x[3]  # create local variable R
+    with(  # we can simplify code using "with"
+      as.list(params),  # this argument to "with" lets us use the variable names
+      {  # the system of rate equations
+          dS <- mu*(S+I+R) - beta*S*I - mu*S
+          dI <- beta*S*I - gamma*I - mu*I
+          dR <- gamma*I - mu*R
+          dx <- c(dS,dI,dR)  # combine results into a single vector dx
+          list(dx)  # return result as a list
+      }
+    )
+  }

> xstart <- c(S=0.2, I=0.001, R=1-0.2-0.001)  # initial conditions, must sum to one
> Tmax <- 120  # integrate for 200 years after transients
> params <- c(beta=beta, gamma=gamma, mu=mu)  # parameter vector
> tau <- 0.01  # time step for reporting
> times <- seq(0, Tmax, by=tau)  # function seq returns a sequence
> out <- ode(xstart,times,sir.model.open,params, method='ode45', rtol=1e-7)
> data.critical <- data.frame(pv=numeric(0), I=numeric(0))
> for(pv in seq(0, 0.6, by=0.01)){
+    xstart <- out[which(out[,1]==50),2:4]
+    Tv <- 4  # number of years between pulses
+    vacc.events <- floor(Tmax/Tv)  # number of pulses in Tmax years
+    data <- data.frame(S=out[which(out[,1]==50),2],
+                        I=out[which(out[,1]==50),3],
+                        R=out[which(out[,1]==50),4])
+    for(i in 1:vacc.events){
+        out2 <- ode(xstart, seq(tau, Tv, by=tau), sir.model.open, params, method='ode45', rtol=1e-7)
+        xstart <- out2[dim(out2)[1],2:4]  # reset initial condition
+        xstart[1] <- (1-pv)*(tail(out2,1)[2])  # vaccinate susceptibles
+        xstart[3] <- xstart[3]+(pv)*(tail(out2,1)[2])  # move to recovered class
+        data <- rbind(data,out2[,2:4])  # store result
+    }
+    data.critical <- rbind(data.critical, data.frame(pv=pv, I=mean(tail(data$I,1000))))
+  }

> plot(data.critical$pv, data.critical$I, type='l', xlab='p_v', ylab='')
> vax <- uniroot(crit, lower=0, upper=0.6, mu=mu, Tv=Tv, R0=R0)
> abline(v=vax$root, lty=2)
```
This numerical solution agrees with the analytic value and moreover shows how mean prevalence changes with respect to $p_v$.

Your result should look something like this.
The red line (y-axis on right) shows the theoretical eradication criterion presented in class. Notice that if you increase $p_v$ by different increments than used here (0.01 then your figure may look slightly different.

**Exercise 4.** By thinking about analytical results shown in class, explain what the crossing of the red and green lines means. Is this conclusion confirmed by the blue line?

The green line and red line correspond to the right hand side and left hand side, respectively, of the inequality in the introduction to this exercise. Where they cross, they are equal. Where the red line falls below the green line the infection is predicted to be eliminated. This corresponds to the point where the blue line intersects the x-axis, numerically confirming the theoretical prediction.

**Exercise 5.** How does mean prevalence change if we pulse vaccination more frequently (e.g. $T_v = 3$) or less frequently (e.g. $T_v = 5$)?

For $T_v = 3$:

```r
> xstart <- c(S=0.2, I=0.001, R=1-0.2-0.001)  #initial conditions, must sum to one
> Tmax <- 120  #integrate for 200 years after transients
> params <- c(beta=beta, gamma=gamma, mu=mu)  #parameter vector
> tau <- 0.01  #time step for reporting
> times <- seq(0, Tmax, by=tau)  #function seq returns a sequence
> out <- ode(xstart, times, sir.model.open, params, method='ode45', rtol=1e-7)
> data.critical <- data.frame(pv=numeric(0), I=numeric(0))
> for(pv in seq(0, 0.6, by=0.01)){
+   xstart <- out[which(out[,1]==50),2:4]
+   Tv <- 3  # number of years between pulses
+   vacc.events <- floor(Tmax/Tv)  # number of pulses in Tmax years
+   
```
data <- data.frame(S=out[which(out[,1]==50),2], 
                   I=out[which(out[,1]==50),3], 
                   R=out[which(out[,1]==50),4])

for(i in 1:vacc.events){
  out2 <- ode(xstart, seq(tau, Tv, by=tau), sir.model.open, params, method='ode45', rtol=1e-7)
  xstart <- out2[dim(out2)[1],2:4] # reset initial condition
  xstart[1] <- (1-pv)*(tail(out2,1)[2]) # vaccinate susceptibles
  xstart[3] <- xstart[3]+(pv)*(tail(out2,1)[2]) # move to recovered class
  data <- rbind(data,out2[,2:4]) # store result
}
data.critical <- rbind(data.critical, data.frame(pv=pv, I=mean(tail(data$I,1000))))
}

plot(data.critical$pv, data.critical$I, type='l', xlab='p_v', ylab='')
vax <- uniroot(crit, lower=0, upper=0.6, mu=mu, Tv=Tv, R0=R0)
abline(v=vax$root, lty=2)
For $T_v = 5$:

```r
> xstart <- c(S=0.2, I=0.001, R=1-0.2-0.001)  # initial conditions, must sum to one
> Tmax <- 120  # integrate for 200 years after transients
> params <- c(beta=beta, gamma=gamma, mu=mu)  # parameter vector
> tau <- 0.01  # time step for reporting
> times <- seq(0, Tmax, by=tau)  # function seq returns a sequence
> out <- ode(xstart, times, sir.model.open, params, method="ode45", rtol=1e-7)
> data.critical <- data.frame(pv=numeric(0), I=numeric(0))
> for(pv in seq(0, 0.6, by=0.01)){
+ xstart <- out[which(out[,1]==50),2:4]
+ Tv <- 5  # number of years between pulses
+ vacc.events <- floor(Tmax/Tv)  # number of pulses in Tmax years
+ data <- data.frame(S=out[which(out[,1]==50),2],
```
for(i in 1:vacc.events){
  out2 <- ode(xstart, seq(tau, Tv, by=tau), sir.model.open, params, method='ode45', rtol=1e-7)
  xstart[1] <- (1-pv)*(tail(out2,1)[2])  # reset initial condition
  xstart[3] <- xstart[3]+(pv)*(tail(out2,1)[2])  # move to recovered class
  data <- rbind(data,out2[,2:4])  # store result
}

> plot(data.critical$pv, data.critical$I, type='l', xlab='p_v', ylab='')
> #vax <- uniroot(crit, lower=0, upper=0.6, mu=mu, Tv=Tv, R0=R0)
> #abline(v=vax$root, lty=2)
**Exercise 6.** Is *mean* prevalence the only quantity of interest? Sometimes we may be interested in “worst case” scenarios. Calculate how the maximum prevalence changes as a function of the vaccination fraction. Your result should look like the following.

Returning to $T_v = 4$:

```r
> xstart <- c(S=0.2, I=0.001, R=1-0.2-0.001)  # initial conditions, must sum to one
> Tmax <- 120  # integrate for 200 years after transients
> params <- c(beta=beta, gamma=gamma, mu=mu)  # parameter vector
> tau <- 0.01  # time step for reporting
> times <- seq(0, Tmax, by=tau)  # function seq returns a sequence
> out <- ode(xstart, times, sir.model.open, params, method='ode45', rtol=1e-7)
> data.critical <- data.frame(pv=numeric(0), I=numeric(0))
> for(pv in seq(0, 0.6, by=0.01)){
+  xstart <- out[which(out[,1]==50),2:4]
+  Tv <- 4  # number of years between pulses
+  vacc.events <- floor(Tmax/Tv)  # number of pulses in Tmax years
+  data <- data.frame(S=out[which(out[,1]==50),2],
+                      I=out[which(out[,1]==50),3],
+                      R=out[which(out[,1]==50),4])
+  for(i in 1:vacc.events){
+    out2 <- ode(xstart, seq(tau, Tv, by=tau), sir.model.open, params, method='ode45', rtol=1e-7)
+    xstart <- out2[dim(out2)[1],2:4]  # reset initial condition
+    xstart[1] <- (1-pv)*(tail(out2,1)[2])  # vaccinate susceptibles
+  }
+  data.critical <- rbind(data.critical, data)
>
```
+ xstart[3] <- xstart[3]+(pv)*(tail(out2,1)[2])  # move to recovered class
+ data <- rbind(data,out2[,2:4])  # store result
+ }
+ data.critical <- rbind(data.critical, data.frame(pv=pv, I=max(tail(data$I,1000))))
+ }
> plot(data.critical$pv, data.critical$I, type='l', xlab='p_v', ylab='')
> vax <- uniroot(crit, lower=0, upper=0.6, mu=mu, Tv=Tv, R0=R0)
> abline(v=vax$root, lty=2)